

# Partner reply sheet: Food-process test

For the user to share · ARA6 · Proposed discussion only

## A short note to send with the brief

We are reviewing a possible applied research test for Arkansas's S&T plan. The attached brief describes a proposed question, comparison and evidence needs. Could you help us decide whether it addresses a problem you would act on? An initial reply can describe the problem, available records and restrictions without attaching operating data. This is a scoping conversation, not a request to accept a funded project.

## Questions for the operating partner

1. What recurring problem or decision matters, and what happens if it remains unresolved?
2. Who can authorize a test and act on the result? Who controls the relevant records?
3. Can we agree the product, process, specification, assay and sampling schedule before evaluation?
4. Which observations, dates and comparison are available? What is missing, restricted or costly to obtain?
5. What result, with what service/quality/cost safeguards, would justify the next decision?

## Questions for the research or facility host

1. Who has the methods and time to do the work? Which equipment, staff and access terms can you confirm?
2. What review, data-use, disclosure and intellectual-property arrangements are needed for this test?
3. What costs, lead times and limits apply? Which responsibilities are you willing to accept in writing?

## Record the answer before advancing

| Item                                            | Partner response |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Organization, respondent role and response date | To be supplied   |
| Problem and decision owner                      | To be confirmed  |
| Data/facility access and supporting evidence    | To be confirmed  |
| Agreed cohort, baseline and comparison          | To be agreed     |
| Success threshold and safeguards                | To be agreed     |
| Accepted responsibilities, costs and timing     | To be agreed     |
| Next decision and next conversation             | To be agreed     |

**Current status:** no partner response, accepted owner, usable access, measured baseline, numeric target or operating result has been received. Public capability descriptions and patent examples are discussion leads. Complete this sheet from the partner's actual response; an unanswered field is not zero activity or acceptance.

# Partner reply sheet: Forecasting and ordering test

For the user to share · ARA7 · Proposed discussion only

## A short note to send with the brief

We are reviewing a possible applied research test for Arkansas's S&T plan. The attached brief describes a proposed question, comparison and evidence needs. Could you help us decide whether it addresses a problem you would act on? An initial reply can describe the problem, available records and restrictions without attaching operating data. This is a scoping conversation, not a request to accept a funded project.

## Questions for the operating partner

1. What recurring problem or decision matters, and what happens if it remains unresolved?
2. Who can authorize a test and act on the result? Who controls the relevant records?
3. Can we agree the ordering decision, item/location cohort, forecast horizon and frozen comparator before evaluation?
4. Which observations, dates and comparison are available? What is missing, restricted or costly to obtain?
5. What result, with what service/quality/cost safeguards, would justify the next decision?

## Questions for the research or facility host

1. Who has the methods and time to do the work? Which equipment, staff and access terms can you confirm?
2. What review, data-use, disclosure and intellectual-property arrangements are needed for this test?
3. What costs, lead times and limits apply? Which responsibilities are you willing to accept in writing?

## Record the answer before advancing

| Item                                            | Partner response |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Organization, respondent role and response date | To be supplied   |
| Problem and decision owner                      | To be confirmed  |
| Data/facility access and supporting evidence    | To be confirmed  |
| Agreed cohort, baseline and comparison          | To be agreed     |
| Success threshold and safeguards                | To be agreed     |
| Accepted responsibilities, costs and timing     | To be agreed     |
| Next decision and next conversation             | To be agreed     |

**Current status:** no partner response, accepted owner, usable access, measured baseline, numeric target or operating result has been received. Public capability descriptions and patent examples are discussion leads. Complete this sheet from the partner's actual response; an unanswered field is not zero activity or acceptance.